### 2002 OVERSEAS LAWYERS QUALIFICATION EXAMINATION

### HEAD II: CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

Tuesday, 29 October 2002



### HEAD II: CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

### **TEST PAPER**

### 29 October 2002

### **Instructions to Candidates**

- 1. The duration of the examination is 3 hours and 30 minutes.
- 2. This is an open-book examination.
- 3. There are FIVE questions in this paper. ANSWER ANY <u>FOUR</u> QUESTIONS ONLY.
- 4. You must answer:
  - Questions 1 and 2 (Criminal Questions) in Answer Book 1
  - Questions 3 and 4 (Civil Questions) in Answer Book 2
  - Question 5 in the separate question paper
- 5. Please note that Question 5 is printed in a separate question paper. If you answer Question 5, your answer must be written in the spaces provided for that question in the separate question paper.
- 6. IF YOU ATTEMPT MORE QUESTIONS THAN YOU HAVE BEEN INSTRUCTED TO ANSWER:
  - (a) THE EXAMINERS WILL MARK ALL QUESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN ATTEMPTED AND NOT DELETED; AND
  - (b) IN COMPUTING YOUR FINAL MARK, THE EXAMINERS WILL COUNT THE MARKS FOR THE NUMBER OF QUESTIONS THAT YOU WERE INSTRUCTED TO ANSWER TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE ANSWERS WITH THE LOWEST SCORES.
- 7. Apart from Question 5, start each answer on a separate page of your answer book.
- 8. Each question has the value noted on the question paper. You are urged to apportion your time in accordance with the relative value of each question. No marks can be awarded to a question for which there is no attempted answer.
- 9. Do not take either the question papers or any answer books with you when you leave the examination room.

### 2002 Overseas Lawyers Qualification Examination

### Head II: Civil and Criminal Procedure

### Question 1 (25 marks)

**Bob** was walking along the street with his girl friend **Dodo** at 1:30 a.m. one morning. **Dodo** was carrying a bag.

A uniformed police officer, PW1, stopped them and asked them to produce proof of identity. Bob produced his identity card. PW1 asked Dodo to do the same. Dodo put the bag she was carrying on the ground, took her identity card from her pocket and gave it to PW1. PW1 kept both cards and told Bob and Dodo to follow him to the police station.

When PW1 said this, Dodo said 'I don't have to go with you' and walked away. Bob then picked up the bag that Dodo had placed on the ground. PW1 grabbed Dodo's arm and said 'You are under arrest for obstructing me in the execution of my duty'. PW1 summoned assistance through his beat radio.

Bob then grabbed hold of PW1's hand and tried to make him release Dodo's arm. Two more police officers, PW2 and PW3, arrived at that moment. PW1 told them he had arrested Dodo. PW2 then arrested Bob for assaulting PW1 in the execution of his duty. PW3 seized the bag that Bob was holding.

**PW3** opened the bag and found a polythene bag containing a white powdery substance. When PW3 asked Bob what it was, **Bob** said 'It's nothing to do with me, I've never seen it before'. **PW3** arrested **Bob** on suspicion of possession of dangerous drugs. **Bob** and **Dodo** were taken to the nearest police station.

After arrival at the police station, Bob was questioned by Detective Sergeant Sin (PW4) in the presence of Detective Constable Chow ("DC Chow"). At first Bob denied any knowledge of the substance found in the bag and said he had picked up the bag from the ground when Dodo had walked away. Later Bob made a written statement admitting that the powdery substance found in Dodo's bag was heroin and that they were taking it to an address in Central for their boss Ho San who would pay them \$500 each for doing so. Dodo was later released without charge soon after Bob had completed his statement.

A drugs disposal officer, **PW5**, took the substance to the Government Chemist for analysis. Upon analysis the substance was found to be 5 grammes of a mixture containing 3 grammes of heroin hydrochloride, a dangerous drug.

**Bob** was charged with trafficking in dangerous drugs contrary to s. 4 of the Dangerous Drugs Ordinance Cap. 134 (section attached) and assaulting **PW1** in the execution of his duty contrary to s. 36 of the Offences Against the Person Ordinance Cap. 212 (section attached). Bob's trial will take place in the Magistrates' Court. You represent **Bob** who is paying your fees privately.

(See over the page for a continuation of Question 1)

Bob will plead not guilty to both charges. He tells you that PW4, in the presence of DC Chow, punched him in the stomach whilst he was questioning him and told him: 'If you admit you had the drugs for trafficking, we will leave Dodo out of it and your case can be dealt with by a magistrate. If you don't admit, I will punch you until you do admit it.'

Bob says he made his written statement because of the punch and because of what PW4 had told him. Bob also says that PW4 told him to put in his statement the remarks about delivering the drugs for Ho San. Bob tells you that he did not know what was in Dodo's bag when he picked it up. He tells you he has only known Dodo for four months and is unaware whether or not she is involved with drugs.

The prosecution have served you with a copy of the prosecution's Brief Facts of the case, the statements of the witnesses and the list of exhibits they will rely upon at trial. The witnesses are PW1, PW2, PW3, PW4 and PW5. PW3 will produce the bag as an exhibit, PW4 will produce Bob's statement. PW5 will produce the drugs and the Government Chemist Report.

**DC** Chow is not listed as a witness. The Brief Facts mention that **DC** Chow has left the police force and will not be called as a prosecution witness.

Now consider the following questions. Support your answers with reasons and with authorities where appropriate:

### Questions:

- (a) What, if any, further information and/or documentation will you want from the prosecution in advance of the trial and why would you want that? (7 marks)
- (b) What advice will you give Bob about the court procedures at trial? What action will you take at the trial about Bob's written statement? Why will you take that action and what procedure is involved? (6 marks)
- (c) What action will you take if Bob's statement is excluded from evidence and why will you do that? (6 marks)
- (d) What, if any, action will you take if Bob is acquitted of either or both of the charges, and why will you do that? (6 marks)

[NOTE: Section 4 of the Dangerous Drugs Ordinance, Cap. 134 and section 36 of the Offences Against the Person Ordinance, Cap. 212 are attached at Appendices 1 and 2 respectively at the back of the Test Paper.]

### Question 2 (25 marks)

Connie, aged 20, was employed by XYZ as a clerk. Her manager was Mr Pang ("Pang"), aged 42. Connie and Pang argued after Pang criticised her work. Connie punched Pang in the face. She was wearing a large ring. The ring caused a 2 centimetre cut to Pang's face, near the corner of his left eye. Pang went to hospital where three sutures were inserted into the cut. The hospital doctor was concerned about possible damage to Pang's sight and Pang was kept in hospital for observation for one day. There was no damage to his eyesight. XYZ terminated Connie's employment immediately.

The police were called to XYZ's office. Connie admitted she had lost her temper with Pang and had struck him. She was arrested for assaulting Pang occasioning him actual bodily harm and taken to the nearest police station. In the police station she made a voluntary written statement under caution. In the statement, Connie said that Pang had been criticising her work since she had refused to have dinner with him one night. She said she could not take his criticism any longer, had lost her temper and punched him. In her statement she also said: "He deserved what I did to him".

Connie was charged with Wounding Contrary to s.19 of the Offences Against the Person Ordinance, Cap. 212 (section attached) and bailed to attend Western Magistracy two days later.

Connie appeared in Western Magistracy as required. She was unrepresented and pleaded guilty to Wounding (s.19). She agreed the prosecution's brief facts of the case. Those facts stated:

"After her manager at work, Mr Pang (PW1), criticised her work, the defendant punched him in the face causing a two centimetre cut very near the corner of his left eye. PW1 received hospital treatment. Three sutures were inserted in the cut. There was concern at possible damage to PW1's eyesight. In the event, there was no damage to PW1's eyesight. In a statement under caution, the defendant admitted she had deliberately punched PW1. In that statement the defendant also remarked that PW1 deserved everything she had done to him."

Connie has no previous convictions. In mitigation she only said: "Please give me a chance. It will be hard for me to find another job now".

The magistrate said that this was a serious premeditated attack upon Pang, Connie's superior, who was simply doing his job. The offence was serious and Pang was fortunate not to have suffered permanent damage to his sight. Connie was sentenced to six months immediate imprisonment.

(See over the page for a continuation of Question 2)

You have been consulted by Connie's mother, Winnie, who was deeply shocked to learn of Connie's imprisonment. She says that Connie wants to appeal against sentence. Winnie tells you that Connie, her only child, has been a good daughter to her. Winnie also says that she was widowed two years ago when her husband, Zu, was killed in a road accident. Winnie says she suffered a nervous breakdown as a result and now cannot work. She tells you that Connie has been supporting her from her salary and that without Connie's support she will need to apply for Public Assistance.

Winnie has telephoned the Managing Director of XYZ and told him of the difficulties she now faces because Connie has been sent to prison. The Managing Director has told Winnie that he valued Connie as an honest and trustworthy employee. He is prepared to offer her a job at another branch of XYZ where she will not come into contact with Pang.

Winnie also tells you that she suffers from high blood pressure and hyper-tension.

### **Questions:**

Please answer the following questions, supporting your answer with reasons and authorities where appropriate.

- (a) What advice would you give to Winnie about the action that could be taken for Connie and the procedure that would be involved? (10 marks):
- (b) What sentence, if any, would you argue that Connie should be given in place of the sentence imposed? What arguments would you make? What, if any, supporting material would you obtain? (15 marks)

[NOTE: Section 19 of the Offences Against the Person Ordinance, Cap. 212 is attached at Appendix 3 at the back of the Test Paper]

### Question 3 (25 marks)

On 18 June 2002 a representative of **ABC Limited**, a German company, and a buyer for **Swiss Cycles Limited**, a Swiss Company, met in the offices of **Swiss Cycles Limited** in Zurich to discuss the possible purchase by **Swiss Cycles Limited** from **ABC Limited** of 5,000 bicycles for a price of US\$750,000.00. The negotiations were all conducted in German. The buyer signed a document headed "Order Confirmation" produced by **ABC Limited (the 1<sup>st</sup> OC)**. (The 1<sup>st</sup> OC and all documents subsequently referred to were all written in English.) At the foot of the document, under "Remarks", there was stated "Legal domicile in Hong Kong". In the middle of the document were the words "order from ABC Limited follows".

On the following day, 19 June 2002, Swiss Cycles Limited received two faxes from the Hong Kong Office of ABC Limited. Attached to the first fax was a typed up version of the Order Confirmation of ABC Limited (the 2<sup>nd</sup> OC) on which was typed the words "accepted by Swiss Cycles Limited". A few hours later, ABC Limited sent the second fax which set out the export terms and conditions, which contained a Hong Kong exclusive jurisdiction clause, as well as further additional contractual terms. Swiss Cycles Limited did not give any indication of its confirmation or acceptance of the 2<sup>nd</sup> OC or any of the export terms and conditions. However, on 26 June 2002, Swiss Cycles Limited wrote to ABC Limited informing it that its offer would not be accepted. The following day, ABC Limited wrote to Swiss Cycles Limited stating that it expected the contract to be fulfilled and that a letter of credit should be opened immediately.

On 10 August 2002, the Managing Director of **ABC Limited** made an appointment to see you and handed over to you his file of correspondence and documents, including three letters from **Swiss Cycles Limited**, the letter dated 26 June 2002, a letter dated 7 July 2002 denying that there was any concluded contract and a letter dated 21 July 2002 asserting that if there was a contract, the terms and conditions sent to them by fax on 19 June 2002 were not incorporated into the contract.

The Managing Director of ABC Limited requests your advice on whether proceedings can be issued in Hong Kong for service on Swiss Cycles Limited.

### **Questions:**

- (a) Write a letter of advice to the Managing Director of ABC Limited setting out the procedural rules applicable to the above fact pattern, the steps to be taken and the principles on which the Court will exercise its discretion.

  (15 marks)
- (b) Draft an affidavit on behalf of ABC Limited in support of an ex-parte application for leave to serve out of the jurisdiction. If there are insufficient facts available, set out in each paragraph of the draft affidavit in square brackets the additional instructions you require. (10 marks)

### **Question 4 (25 marks)**

You act for Hopeless Construction Ltd. You issued a Writ on behalf of your client in June this year to recover the outstanding contract price for renovation services provided to Shameless Trading in 2001. The Writ was issued against "Shameless Trading (a firm)" as the defendant and was served on the firm at its office address in Wanchai by registered post. Upon receiving an Acknowledgment of Service signed by Chan as a partner of the defendant firm stating an intention to defend, you applied for summary judgment on behalf of your client.

Four (4) weeks ago, you successfully obtained summary judgment at the call-over hearing in your client's favour against the defendant firm for the sum of HK\$3 million, plus interest, plus costs, as the defendant failed to file any affidavit in reply and failed to appear at the hearing.

The judgment debt has not been paid. You have commissioned enquiry agents to investigate **Shameless Trading**. The enquiry agents' inquiries have established the following:-

- 1. Shameless Trading has 3 partners: Wong, Lee and Chan. Shameless Trading's office in Wanchai was purchased by Lee and a third party as joint tenants in 1999 for HK\$5 million, financed by a 70% mortgage with the SAR Bank.
- 2. Shameless Trading closed down its business about 6 weeks ago because of a fierce argument between Lee and Chan.
- Wong emigrated to the Netherlands at the end of February this year and since then has had no apparent involvement in Shameless Trading. Wong owns a flat in Hong Kong. The flat was purchased by him two years ago for HK\$1.5 million, financed by an "All Moneys" mortgage with the SAR Bank and is currently rented out to a lecturer at the SAR University at a monthly rental of HK\$10,000.
- 4. Lee has substantial private funds, although it is not clear what these amount to or where they are held. Furthermore, Lee has applied to emigrate to Canada and he announced to customers of **Shameless Trading** (before it closed down) that he intends to take up his emigration rights in December this year and to sell up his Hong Kong assets.
- 5. Chan owns 3,000 shares in a private Hong Kong company called Casinos Ltd which runs casinos in Macau and is very profitable. The remaining 27,000 shares are owned by Chan's uncle, Fred.

(See over the page for continuation of Question 4)

Hopeful Au ("Au"), the managing director of Hopeless Construction Ltd, wants a written letter of advice from you as to what steps should be taken to enforce the judgment obtained against Shameless Trading, bearing in mind the information available in the enquiry agents' report. He wants to know the procedural steps necessary to enforce the judgment, together with a summary of the recommended methods of execution of the judgment. Au has specifically said he requires no advice on statutory insolvency remedies because he, being a qualified accountant, is familiar with liquidation and bankruptcy procedures under Hong Kong law.

### Question:

Please write a letter of advice to your client.

(25 marks)

[NOTE: You may omit the addresses and letterhead etc and just write the body of the advice letter)

(See Question 5 in the separate Test Paper)

0

3. 一就附表 1 而言的百分率計算方法,及 substance) 的引伸涵蓋

(1) 就附表 1 而

· <del>基礎</del>計算: 在每 100 毫升的製劑中, 如 含有1克固體物質或含有1毫升液體物質,減份期劑即含有百分之一該 物質;較大或較小的百分率均按此比例計算;及 就附表1而言—— (a) 如肠液體製劑,百分率須按以

如屬鹽類,百分率須以無水鹼的分量計算。 

在附表1第1部第1段中指明的物質,如可能存有與其同樣化學名稱的異構 體,則須視為包括指明該物質的異構體;在附表1中其他提及第1部第1段指明的物 之處,亦須據此解釋。 [*比照1965 c. 15 s. 24(2) U.K.*] 3

Dangerous Drugs CAP. 134

### Calculation of percentages for purposes of First Schedule, and extended meaning of "substance" (物質) m

APPENDIX

For the purposes of the First Schedule—
 (a) in the case of liquid preparations, per

in the case of liquid preparations, percentages shall be calculated on the basis that a preparation containing I per cent of any substance means a preparation in which I gram of the substance if a solid, or 1 millilitre of the substance if a liquid, is contained in every 100 millilitres of the preparation, and so in proportion for any greater or less percentage; and

n the case of salts, percentages shall be calculated as in respect

of the subvdrous base.

(2) The specification in paragraph 1 of Part I of the First Schedule of a substance shall, if the existence of isomers of that substance is possible within the specific chemical designation thereof, by taken to comprehend the specification of any isomer of that substance whose existence is possible as stance specified in paragraph 1 of Part I thereof shall be construed accordingly. aforesaid; and any other reference in the First Schedule to 1965 c. 15 s. 24(2) U.K.]

CONTROL OF IMPORT, EXPORT, PROCURING, SUPPLY, DEALING IN OR WITH, MANUFACTURE AND POSSESSION OF DANGEROUS DRUGS

# Trafficking in dangerous drug

(1) Save under and in accordance with this Ordinance or a licence granted by the Director hereunder, no person shall, on his own behalf or on behalf of any other person, whether or not such other person is in Hong Kong-

traffic in a dangerous drug;

offer to traffic in a dangerous drug or in a substance he believes to be a dangerous drug; or <u>e</u> e

do or offer to do an act preparatory to or for the purpose of trafficking in a dangerous drug or in a substance he believes to (Amended 37 of 1980 s. 2) be a dangerous drug. (2)

(2) Subsection (1) shall apply whether or not the dangerous drug is in Hong Kong or is to be imported into Hong Kong or is ascertained, appropriated or in existence.

對進口、出口、獲取、供應、經營或 處理、製造及管有危險藥物的管制

### 危險藥物的販運

(1) 除根據及按照本條例,或根據及按照署長根據本條例而發出的許可證外,任 何人不得為其本人或代表不論是否在香港的其他人士·

**販運危險藥物;** B

提出販運危險藥物或提出販運他相信為危險藥物的物質;或

作出或提出作出任何作為,以準備販運或目的是販運危險藥物或他相信 為危險藥物的物質, (由1980 年第37 號第2 條修訂) **@ @** 

不論危險藥物是否在香港,或將進口入香港,或是否被確定、據有或存在 第(1)款均適用 3

- 任何人違反第(1)款的任何規定,即屬犯罪,可處以下罰則 3
- (a) 循公前程序定罪後,可處罰款 \$5,000,000 及終身監禁;及
- (由1974年第 循简易程序定罪後,可處罰款 \$500,000 及監禁 3年。 43 號第2 條修訂) <u>@</u>
  - 本條不適用於 4
- 在附表1第11部所指明的製劑;或 E
  - 過境途中的危險藥物,而-3
- 該危險藥物正從一個可合法出口該危險藥物的國家遲往另一個可合 法進口該危險藥物的國家的過境途中;及 Ξ
- 書或轉運證明書(視屬何情況而定)。 (由1984 年第7 號第2 條代 該危險藥物是從一個公約締約國出口,並附有一份有效的出口授權 Ξ

# 4A 販運看來是危險藥物的物質

- **丕得為其本人或代表不論是否在香港的其他人士**
- 示或顯示為危險藥物,但事實上並非危險藥物的物質; <u>(a)</u>
- **和示為危險藥物,但事實上並非危險藥物的物質;或** 提出販運其表示或
- 作出或提出作出任何作為一心準備販運或目的是販運其表示或顯示為危 險藥物,但事實上並非危險藥物的 © ©
- ,或將進口人香港,或是 不論表示指稱或顯示為危險藥物的物質是否在會 否被確定、據有或存在,第(1)款均適用 3
  - 任何人達反第(1)款的任何規定,即屬犯罪,可處以下爵則 3
    - 循公訴程序定罪後,可處罰款 \$500,000 及監禁 7 年;及 E

<u>a</u>

- 循簡易程序定罪後,可處罰款 \$100,000 及監禁1年。
- 未徵得律政司司長書面同意,不得就本條所訂的罪項提出檢控,但本款不妨 礙因該罪項而逮捕任何人或發出逮捕令,或拘抑或保釋被檢控該罪的人。 (由1997 年第362 號法律公告修訂

(由 1980 年第 37 號第 3 條增補)

- Any person who cortravenes any of the provisions of subsection (1) shall be guilty of an offence and shall be liable
  - on conviction on indictment, to a fine of \$5,000,000 and to imprisonment for life; and (a)
- 9 and to a fine of \$500,000 (Amended 43 of 1974 s. 2) on summary conviction, imprisonment for 3 years. (g)
  - This section does not apply to-4
- (a) a preparation specified in Part II of the First Schedule; or (b) a dangerous drug which is in transit and
  - a dangerous drug which is in transit and-
- (i) is in course of transit from a country from which it may lawfully be exported to another country into which it may lawfully be imported; and
- to the authorization or diversion certificate, as the case may be. export was exported from a country which is a party Conventions and is accompanied by a valid (Replaced 7 of 1984 s. 2) Ξ

# 4A. Trafficking in purported dangerous drug

- (1) No person shall, on his own behalf or on behalf of any other person, whether or not such other person is in Hong Kong-
- (a) traffic in any substance represented or held out by him to be a dangerous drug out which is not in fact a dangerous drug;
- offer to traffic in any substance represented or held out by him to be a dangerous drug but which is not in fact a dangerous drug; or 9
  - do or offer to do an act preparatory to or for the purpose of trafficking in any substance represented or held out by him to be a dangerous drug but which is not in fact a dangerous drug. 3
- Subsection (1) shall apply whether or not the substance represented held out to be a dangerous drug is in Hong Kong or is to be imported into Yong or is ascertained, appropriated or in existence. 3
  - (3) Any person who contravenes any of the provisions of subsection (1) shall be guilty of an effence and shall be liable
    - on indictment, to a fine of \$500,000 and to imprisonment for years; and (a) on conviction
- a fine of \$100,000 and to No prosecution for an offence under this section shall be instituted without the consent in writing of the Secretary for Justice, but this subsection shall not prevent the arrest, or the issue of a warrant for the arrest, of a person for any such offence, or the remand in custody or on bail of a person charged with such an offence. (Amended L.N. 362 of 1997) on summary conviction, imprisonment for 1 year. 9

(Added 37 of 1980 s. 3)

Issue 17

Q

即屬犯可循簡易或公訴程序審訊的罪行,可處監禁2年。

田+911 年第30 號第2 及5 條修訂;由1950 年第22 號第3 條修訂;由1991

年第50號第4

[比照1861 c. 100 s. 36 U.K.]

## 因裁判官等保存遭毀壞船隻貨物而予以襲擊 35.

遭毀壞、擱淺、漂流上岸或沉沒的船隻、貨物或財物的職務之際,或因其執行此等職 (由1911年第30號第2及5條修訂;由1911年第50號第4條修訂;由1912 **年第21 號第2 條修訂;由1991 年第50 號第4 條修訂;由1997 年第47 號第** 任何人在裁判官、官員或其他獲合法授權的人執行其保存或關乎保存遇險船隻或 務之故,將其襲擊及擊打或傷害,即屬犯可循公訴程序審訊的罪行,可處監禁7年。 10 條修訂)

[比照1861 c. 100 s. 37 U.K.]

shall be guilty of an offence triable either summarily or upon indictment, and shall be liable to imprisonment for 2 years.

(Amended 30 of 1911 ss. 2 & 5; 22 of 1950 s. 3; 50 of 1991 s. 4, [cf. 1861 c. 100 s. 36 U.K.]

# APPENDIX

## 35. Assaulting magistrate, etc., on account of his preserving wreck

vessel, goods, or effects wacked, stranded, or cast on shore, or lying under water, shall be guilty of an offence trieble upon indictment, and shall be liable person whomsoever lawfully authorized, in or on account of the exercise of his duty in or concerning the preservation of any vessel in distress, or of any Any person who assaults and strikes or wounds any magistrate, officer, or to imprisonment for 7 years. other

(Amended 30 of 1911 ss. 2 & 5; 50 of 1911 s. 4, 31 of 1912 s. 2; 50 of 1991 s. 4; 47 of 1997 s. 10)

[cf. 1861 c. 100 s. 37 c. 144]

# 瘷圗犯罪而襲擊或軁警等

36.

任何人·

- 意圖犯可逮捕的罪行而襲斃他人;或 <u>a</u>
- 襲擊、抗拒或故意阻撓在正當執行職務的任何警務人員或在協助該警務 人員的人; 或
- 意圖抗拒或防止自己或其他人由於任何罪行受到合法拘捕或扣留而襲擊 ত

即屬犯可循簡易或公訴程序審訊的罪行,可處監禁2年。

(由1911 年第30 號第2 及5 條修訂;由1911 年第51 號第2 條修訂;由1930 年第22 號第3 條修訂;由1991 年第50 號第4 條修訂)

[比照1861 c. 100 s. 38 U.K.]

### Assault with intent to commit offence, or on police officer, etc. 36

Any person who-

- assaults any person with intent to commit an arrestable offence, T
- assaults, resists, or wilfully obstructs any police officer in the due execution of his duty or any person acting in aid of such officer. (*p*)
- assaults any person with intent to resist or prevent the lawful apprehension or detainer of himself or of any other person for any offence, <u>છ</u>

shall be guilty of an offence triable either summarily or upon indictment, and shall be liable to imprisonment for 2 years.

'Amended 30 of 1911 ss. 2 & 5; 51 of 1911 s. 2; 22 of 1950 s. 3; 50 of

[cf. 1861 c. 100 s. 38 U.K.]

## 37. 撤銷申訴證明書

根據案情認為未能證實所申訴罪行,或裁定該襲擊或毆打具有正當理由,或事屬輕徵 裁判官聆訊由受屈一方目行提出或由他人代為提出申訴的<del>與掌或歐打集</del>

**邓可活罚版,由香港特期行政驅政府印務司局長印刷及姓行** 

第17 章

# 37. Certificate of dismissal of complaint

If the magistrate, on the hearing of any case of assault or battery upon the merits, where the complaint is preferred by of on behalf of the party aggireved,

3

Autharized Loose-leafEdition, Printed and Published by the Government Printer, Hang Kong Specie Administrative Region

Issue 17

# 傷人或對他人身體加以嚴重傷害

<u>6</u>

任何人非法及惡意傷害他人或對他人身體加以嚴重傷害,不論是否使用武器或器 具,均屬犯可循公訴程序審訊的罪行,可處監禁3年。

(由1911 年第36 號第2 及5 條修訂;由1991 年第50 號第4 條修訂)

[ 比照 1861 c, 100 s. 20 U.K.]

## Offences against the Person CAP. 212

# APPENDIX

# 19. Wounding or inflicting grievous bodily harm

grievous bodily harm upon any other person, either with or without any Any person who unlawfully and maliciously wounds or inflicts any weapon or instrument, shall be guilty of an offence triable upon indictment, and shall be liable to imprisonment for 3 years.

(Amended 30 of 1911 ss. 2 & 5; 50 of 1991 s. 4) [cf. 1861 c. 100 s. 20 U.K.]

## 為了犯可公訴的罪行而公園值人致自等 20.

任何人意圖使自己或他人能犯可公訴的罪行,或意圖協助他人犯可公訴的罪行, Ė

- 以任何方式,企圖使他人哽喻、窒息或企圖扼勒他人咽喉;或
- 以刻意使他人哽噜、窒息或扼勒他人咽喉的任何方式,企圖使該人失去 知覺、不省人事或失去抵抗能力, (e) (a)

即屬犯可循公訴程序審訊的罪行,可處終身蓋禁。

(由1911 年第36 號第2 及5 條修訂;由1991 年第50 號第4條修訂)

[*比照 1861 c. 100 s. 21 U.K.*]

# to commit indictable offence

Any person who-<u>(a)</u>

- by any means whatsoever, attempts to cherke, suffocate, or strangle any other person; or
- attempts to render approther person insensible, unconscious, or by any means calculated to choke, suffocate, or strangle, incapable of resistance, (P)

with intent in any of such cases thereby to assist any other person in committing, any incictable offence, shall be guilty of an offence with intent in any of act cases thereby to enable himself or any other person fiable upon indictment, and shall be liable to imprisonment for life. to commit

(Amended 30 of 1911 ss. 2 & 5; 50 of 1991 s. 4)

[cf. 1861 c. 100 s. 21 U.K.]

# 21. 為了犯可公訴的罪行而使用哥羅仿等

而非法對任何人使用或施用,或導致任何人服用,或企圖對任何人使用或施用,或企 圖導致任何人被施用或服用哥羅仿、鴉片酊、胡椒粉或其他使人神志不清或軟弱無力 任何人意圖使自己或他大能犯可公訴的罪行,或意圖協助他人犯可公訴的罪行, 、物質或物品,即屬犯可循公訴程序審訊的罪行,可處終身監禁

′由1911 年第30 號第2 及5 條修訂;由1991 年第50 號第4條修訂

[比照 1861 c. 100 s. 22 U.K.]

### 21. Using chloroform, etc., in order to commit indictable offence

other stupefying or overpowering drug, matter, or thing, with intent in any of such cases thereby to enable himself or any other person to commit, or with intent in any of such cases thereby to assist any other person in committing, taken by, or attempts to apply or administer to, or attempts to cause to be administered to or taken by any person any chloroform, laudanum, pepper, or Any person who unlawfully applies or administers to or causes to be any indictable offence, shall be guilty of an offence triable upon indictment, and shall be liable to imprisonment for life.

(Amended 30 of 1911 ss. 2 & 5; 50 of 1991 s. 4) [cf. 1861 c. 100 s. 22 U.K.]

Authorizad Loose-leaf Edition, Printed and Published by the 3overnmant Printer, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

Issue 17

### Question 5 (25 marks in total with 7 sub-questions)

This question contains 7 sub-questions carrying 25 marks in total.

NOTE: Candidates are required to answer <u>all</u> sub-questions in the space reserved on this question paper, <u>not</u> in the answer book.

The sub-questions in this question are based upon an initial fact pattern progressively modified by the addition of facts, or the alteration of facts, in subsequent sub-questions.

#### **INITIAL FACTS**

You act for Loanshark Ltd ("Loanshark").

On 15 January 2002, you issued on behalf of Loanshark a Writ of Summons in the Court of First Instance of the High Court against Mo Chin-bei ("Mo"), Tai Jo-sai ("Tai") and Chow Jan-min ("Chow") as the guarantors to recover an unpaid loan of HK\$1 million granted to Defaulter Ltd ("Defaulter") plus interest and costs. Defaulter had been put into liquidation and so Loanshark chose not to pursue any legal action against it. Loanshark claimed in the Statement of Claim indorsed on the Writ that Defaulter failed to repay the loan when it was due on 1 February 1996 and that the guarantors failed to pay despite written demand being first made on all three of them on 1 March 1996 pursuant to a joint and several guarantee signed by them on 1 February 1995.

Your process server served the Writ on Mo, Tai and Chow by registered post at their respective residential addresses stated in the guarantee. Only Chow filed an Acknowledgment of Service stating an intention to defend. You obtained judgment in default against the other two defendants when neither of them had filed an Acknowledgment of Service by the deadline prescribed under the Rules of the High Court.

#### **Sub-Question 1**

You obtain on behalf of Loanshark a garnishee order nisi against Mo's banker, the SAR Bank, and it transpires that there is a credit balance of HK\$200,000 in Mo's account.

Five (5) days after obtaining the garnishee order nisi, you receive from the Post Office as uncollected mail the Writ previously posted to Mo.

What steps should you recommend Loanshark to take? Briefly explain your answer.
(3 marks)

	·			
		·		
	_		· · ·	<del></del>
				<del> ,</del>
			•	
				· -
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			·	
wh Owestian 2				
ub-Question 2				
is now 15 March 2002. Loanshard June 2001 but so far no letters of a cords on Tai's residential address so any encumbrances. The flat is currentially and has a market value of about 15 mildren and has a mildr	of administration show that the flat ntly occupied by	have been obt is registered in <b>Tai's</b> surviving	ained. Land s his name free	earch from
What steps should you recommend	Loanshark to ta	ke? Briefly exi	olain your ans	wer.

			<del></del>	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u> </u>		
<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
<del></del>					
		<u></u> <u>.</u>			
			<del> </del>		
		<u> </u>			
	· .				
					7.
		·			
				·	
				·	

### **Sub-Question 3**

Chow has filed a defence alleging that Loanshark was an unlicensed moneylender at the material time when the loan was granted to Defaulter. Loanshark applies for summary judgment and files a supporting affidavit verifying its claim and denying that it was then or is now a moneylender. Chow files an affidavit in reply affirming the allegations stated in the defence without exhibiting any documents in support.

At the substantive hearing of the summary judgment application, after hearing the submissions of the parties' respective counsel, Master Law holds that **Chow** has not provided any credible evidence that **Loanshark** was at the material times a moneylender and so grants summary judgment against him. The costs order made by Master Law is in the following terms: "Costs of the summary judgment application be to the Plaintiff." **Loanshark** has paid \$30,000 to you (inclusive of counsel's fees of \$10,000) for legal costs incurred for the summary judgment application.

What advice would you give Loanshark as to its entitlement to legal costs and the

related procedural steps that need to be taken? Briefly ex		(5 marks
	<del></del>	
	· <del></del>	
		<del> </del>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		•

Sub-Question 4
Sub-Question 4
ASSUME FOR THIS SUB-QUESTION ONLY THAT YOU ACT FOR Chow.
Immediately after the hearing before Master Law, Chow tells you that indeed he hapromotional documents printed by Loanshark showing that the latter was at the material time carrying on business as a moneylender.
What application(s) should you recommend Chow to make? Briefly explain you answer and discuss the merits of the application(s). (5 marks)

		<del></del>	
		<del>-</del>	<u> </u>
,		<del></del>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
-		<u> ·</u>	<u> </u>

### **Sub-Question 5**

Loanshark eventually settles with Chow by way of a written agreement on terms that Loanshark shall forthwith consent to setting aside the summary judgment obtained before Master Law and withdraw its claim against Chow with no order as to costs, and that Chow shall pay HK\$300,000 to Loanshark within one month in full and final satisfaction of Chow's claim against him in the proceedings. However, after the summary

judgment has been set aside and Loanshark's claim been formally withdrawn against Chow by consent, Chow refuses to pay the settlement sum as agreed.

Now Loanshark institutes fresh proceedings against Chow in the District Court to enforce the settlement agreement. Chow defends the claim alleging that the settlement agreement is void for want of consideration as Loanshark was an unlicensed moneylender at the material time and so was not entitled to enforce the guarantee in the previous proceedings. Chow further counterclaims for a declaration that the settlement agreement is null and void.

What application(s your answer and di	o make? B	Briefly explain (3 marks)		
	 	<u> </u>		
	 			···
	 			-
	 ·			

### Sub-Question 6

Which of the following statements regarding an action begun by writ in the <u>District Court</u> is/are correct?

- (1) A defendant who wishes to appeal against a monetary judgment given by a District Court Judge at trial has a right to appeal to the Court of Appeal without leave so long as he lodges the appeal within the prescribed time.
- (2) The District Court has no jurisdiction to grant Mareva Injunctions.
- (3) A plaintiff may commence legal proceedings for HK\$1 million as damages for breach of contract directly in the District Court without leave provided that the defendant consents.

Please put a tick against the answer you consider is correct.

(2 marks)

- [ ] (a) (1) only
- [ ] (b) (2) only
- [ ] (c) (3) only
- [] (d) (2) and (3)
- [] (e) none of the above choices

#### **Sub-Question 7**

Which of the following statements regarding an action begun by writ in the <u>District Court</u> is/are correct?

- (1) If a Judge in chambers hearing a contested interlocutory application makes an Order that "Costs of the application be to the Plaintiff", the Plaintiff may not proceed to tax his costs unless and until the whole proceedings are concluded.
- (2) A Judge in chambers hearing a contested interlocutory application has jurisdiction to make a gross sum assessment of costs in favour of the successful party instead of ordering taxed costs.
- (3) A limited company being sued as a defendant may be represented by its director to defend the proceedings as of right if the director has filed at court an affidavit stating that he has been duly authorised to act on behalf of the company, exhibiting a duly certified copy of the relevant board resolution.

(See over the page for a continuation of Sub-Question 7)

Plea	se put a	a tick against the answer you consider is correct.	(2 marks)
[]	(a)	(1) only	
	(b)	(2) only	
	(c)	(3) only	
[ ]	(d)	(2) and (3)	
[]	(e)	none of the above choices	

END OF TEST PAPER

			ì
			,
			,
			į